Sectt. II-27—25,006—27-10-33.

Law (General) (1934) Department.

Ms. SERIES.

G.O. No. 1439

DATED 9-5-1934.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Official Receiver, South Kana
Establishment — Appointment
of an additional clerk —
temporary — Sanctioned.

C.F.80.

DEPARTMENT.

C 1132 B

Received ..... 192 *Registered* 28.2.33 192

Subject.



ENCLOSURES.

No .....

.....

Spare copies .....

No. 34-33-D.3

Station Madras, *Dated the* 27th February 1933.

From (Name) G. S. White, Esq., (Solicitor),

(Designation) Registrar, High Court, Madras.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Law (General) Department.

(with enclosure).

Sir,

Establishment - Official Receiver's office, South Kanara - Additional clerk - appointment.

I am to invite attention to the correspondence ending with your letter No.Ms.29, dated 5th January 1932 negativing, on account of financial stringency, the proposal of the District Judge, South Kanara, for the appointment of an additional clerk in the Official Receiver's office, South Kanara. Pursuant to that the District Judge applied in his letter D. No.525 dated 23rd January 1932 for sanction for the employment of an additional clerk payable by apportionment pro rata from the several estates to which the work of the clerk may relate. The High Court did not approve the proposal,

out

but suggested instead the employment of clerks on a job work system on the lines directed in the High Court Proceedings R.O.C.No.3819/30-D.3, dated 3rd September 1930--copy enclosed. The District Judge now writes to say that the remedy suggested in the High Court's Proceedings noted above does not give adequate relief for dealing with the clerical work of the Official Receiver's office, South Kanara and therefore requests sanction for the permanent appointment of at least an additional clerk on Rs.30/- per mensem to that office--Vide copy of his letter D.No.46, dated 4th January 1933 enclosed. The amount of commission earned by Government from the collections of the Official Receiver of the district for each of the years 1930 to 1932 is as noted in the *margin.

*1930	...	Rs.6[illegible]
1931	...	[illegible]
1932	...	[illegible]

In the circumstances reported by the District Judge in his present letter noted above and having regard to the commission realised by Government in 1932, the Honourable the Judges recommend for the present the employment of an additional temporary clerk on Rs.30/- per mensem for a period of one year in the Official Receiver's office, South Kanara and I am to request that Government may be pleased to issue orders accordingly.

The extra cost involved in the proposal will be met out of the budget grant under 24 (e) B - Mufassal Civil and Sessions Courts - Regular Establishments - Pay of Establishments - Voted.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

REGISTRAR.

B.A.

Copy of letter D. No.46, dated the 4th January 193. from the District Judge, South Kanara, to the Registrar, High Court, Madras:-

I have the honour once again to address the High Court on the matter of providing a permanent additional clerk to the Office of the Official Receiver, South Kanara, so as to enable the Official Receiver to discharge his work efficiently and without delay.

2. A reference to the correspondence on the subject beginning with this court's letter Dis.No.1142/24-2-1930 bringing to the notice of the High Court the necessity for the appointment of an additional hand and ending with the High Court Order R.Dis. No.113/32 dated 10-2-1932 suggesting the adoption of the course indicated by the High Court Proceedings R.O.C.No.3819 of 1930-D.3 dated 3-9-1930, would, I beg to submit, clearly show that both the High Court and the Government have been perfectly satisfied with the necessity of re-inforcing the clerical staff of the Official Receiver and it is therefore needless for me to repeat the grounds or circumstances that demand such reinforcement.

3. The only problem then left for solution is how this relief should be secured--whether it is by the appointment of a clerk on the job system as has been suggested by the High Court in their Order read above, or whether it is by the appointment of a permanent clerk on a fixed salary of Rs.30/- to be paid by Government.

4. With due deference to the High Court, I would beg to point out that the mere preparation of notices to creditors and sale proclamations which is the only work intended to be turned out under the job system, is neither the only work nor the only heavy work that the Official Receiver's clerk has got to do. There are a number of other items of daily routine, such as maintaining accounts, Inward and outward registers, copying out the reports that are constantly called for from the eight courts in the district, complying with copy applications from parties, complying with the requisition from the courts for records; arranging and

indexing

indexing the papers in each insolvency petition; preparing returns or statements called for by courts and several other items described in detail in the enclosed copy of letter from the Official Receiver. They are multifarious and taxing and that it has been repeatedly found impossible for the Official Receiver's clerk to cope with them single-handed. Naturally a substantial part of the daily routine work has fallen in arrears with the result that the Official Receiver's Office is almost out of gear and the satisfactory administration of several insolvents' estates being delayed and deferred in consequence. I am convinced that the job system will afford very little relief.

5. I have already brought to the notice of the High Court in my recent notes of inspection of the office of the Official Receiver that the condition of the office is very unsatisfactory, that there are a number of bundles of records not indexed at all, that fair copying work is slack, that several registers which have been newly prescribed have yet to be opened, that many of the registers maintained are badly kept, that declaration of dividends to creditors is not promptly done and that the common explanation offered by the Official Receiver for all the irregularities, delays and defects pointed out in the notes is that his office is undermanned and that he finds it almost impossible to keep abreast of the work. That two clerks are necessary is the view taken by two Official Receivers in succession and I find on a close scrutiny of the work to be done that it is a reasonable one.

6. In his letter referred to above, the Official Receiver states that unless at least two permanent hands are sanctioned by the Government, it is impossible for him to run his office with a solitary clerk in view of the heavy and voluminous work that has to be done in connection

with

with 300 estates now pending administration and 95 insolvency petitions received from the several courts in the District.

7. For the reasons stated above, I request that the Honourable the Judges of the High Court may be graciously plea to move the Government to sanction the appointment of at least one permanent additional clerk on a fixed pay of Rs.30/- per mensem.

8. In making this proposal, I am quite conscious of the existing financial stringency which the Government have to face, but I wou venture to state that there would not be any difficulty in finding funds for the purpose as the commission fees earned by the Government annually from the Official Receiver's collection in this district would more than suffice to cover up the cost to be incurred on account of the appointment of an extra clerk.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS.

Subject:- Establishment - Official Receiver's Office - clerical assistance - employment of temporary men on piece work basis - Read the following

1. Letter No.15167 dated 13th November 1929 from the District Judge of Madura.

* * * * * * *

"It is, however, probable in my opinion that further arrears will accumulate unless some machinery is devised to deal with the heavy clerical work in connection with the preparation of notices to creditors, sale proclamations and such matters of a more or less routine nature involving as a rule the preparation of large batches of papers for the various parties. The details furnished in my letter No.14675 dated 6-11-29 indicate that the mere work of maintaining records and registers, fair-copying and indexing etc. keeps the clerk of the Official Receiver in a heavy district like this very fully occupied and if there are a number of heavy insolvencies coming up for administration at about the same time, something - either the regular work of the office or the administration of the estates - is bound to get behindhand. To deal with this state of affairs the Official Receiver suggests the employment of a clerk on a piece-work basis for preparing notices to creditors and sale proclamations and proposes to pay for the work by charging the estate at a rate of 3 pies for each notice written and 6 pies for each item in a proclamation subject to a maximum of Rs.5 in each case. * * *

So far as I have been able to find there is no legal objection to the proposal and there is much to be said for it from a practical point of view.

The inevitable result of delay in administration is that creditors cease to take interest and consequently properties are not followed up and dividends are not

secured. What I would therefore suggest is that the District Judge should be empowered to authorize the employment of a temporary clerk as required to be paid on piece-work, on some basis similar to that suggested by the Official Receiver, dependent on actual work in the administration of estates. The remuneration earned would provide an automatic check on the necessity for this extra clerk. The District Judge would see the figures of earnings each month as is done with the Copyist staff and would have ample opportunity for seeing that the extra staff was not employed unnecessarily. The nature of the work being purely mechanical a high rate of remuneration would not be necessary. The cost to Government would be nil (except possibly in a few estates with no assets) and the cost to the Estates would be small and would frequently be recouped owing to better realization which would follow more speedy and efficient administration."

2. High Court's Proceedings R.Dis.No.272/30 dated 27--5--1930.

The High Court approves of the proposals of the District Judge, Madura for the employment of clerks in the Official Receiver's Office on a piece-work basis for dealing with the arrears of work. The rates of remuneration recommended in the District Judge's letter read above are also approved. The sanction of the District Court should be obtained in each case before employing any clerk on piece work.

---

3. Letter Dis.No.4620 dated 20-8-30 from the District Judge of Kistna.

ORDER:- R.O.C.No.3819-30-13 dated 3--9--1930.

The District Judge of Kistna is informed that he can employ temporary clerks in the Office of the Official Receiver of his district on a piece work basis whenever such a contingency arises for dealing with arrears of work. The rates of remuneration for such clerks should not exceed those approved in

he High Court's proceedings read above. The sanction of the District Court should be obtained in each case before employing any clerk on piece work.

M.S. Krishna Aiyar, 4-9-30
for Ag.Assistant Registrar,
Appellate Side.

To

The District Judge of Kistna.

Copy to all other District Judges ~~except~~ (except Madura)

Copy to all Official Receivers (except Madura)

through the District Judges.

AM SV 4.IX.'30

Law (gnl) Dept.

Letter No. 1132 B-2 D) 10-4-33

Ref.

To

The Hon. the C. J.

High Court of Judicature,

Madras

Hon. Sir,

Establishment - Official Receiver's Office, Mangalore - Addl. clerk, - Reqn. - Mr. White's letter No. 34-33 E.3, 27-2-33

I am directed to say that usually districts with an average of about 100 insolvency petitions are considered as 'heavy' and that the official Receivers of such districts are allowed one clerk on Rs 35-60 and one peon on Rs. 12-18. p.m. each. The special features in the South Kanara district justifying the employment of a additional clerk, in addition to the usual staff, have not been specified.

2) I am also to request that the Hon. the Judges will be so good as to forward to Govt. [illegible]

statistics for the last three years, ~~Statistics~~ of insolvency work in the Official Receivers' Offices in four 'heavy' districts where only one clerk is employed ~~together with~~ A Statement Showing the amount of commission realised by Court in each ~~one~~ district may also be forwarded.

RP 5.4.33

BB 7/4

LWO 7/4/33.

IOW 5.4.33

II

Law (gnl) Dept

Letter No. 1132 B-3
Ref. 26.5.33.

To

The Hon. the C.J. with
High Court of Judicature,
Madras

Hon. Sir,

Establishment - Official Receiver's office, Mangalore - Addl. clerk: Ref: Letter No. 1132 B-2 Law (gnl) dt 10.4.33

I am directed to enquire when a reply to the letter read above may be expected.

25.5.33.

25.5.33

C.F. 30.

DEPARTMENT.

12

Ce 2791/B

31.5.33

Received ..... 192 *Registered* 192

Subject.

ENCLOSURES.
No .....
.....
Spare copies .. ..

R. O. C. No. 34/33-D3.

Station Madras *Dated the* 24th May 1933.

From (Name) B.C.Sankara Narayana Esq., M.A.,LL.B., (Bar-at-Law).,

(Designation) First Assistant Registrar, O.S. in charge, High Court, Madras.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Law (General) Department.

(with enclosures).

Sir,

Sub:-Establishment - Official Receiver's office, South Kanara - additional clerk appointment.

Ref:- Your letter No.1132/B-2 Law(Genl) dated 10-4-1933.

With reference to paragraph 1 of your letter quoted above, I am to forward herewith a copy of the letter Dis. No.792 of 1932 dated the 20th December 1932 and D.No.351 of 1933 dated 30-4-1933 from the Official Receiver of South Kanara.

AS

13

-2-

As regards paragraph 2 of your letter, a copy of the statements received from the District Judges of Chingleput, East Tanjore, Trichinopoly and Salem ~~addressed in~~ is enclosed.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

B C Venkata Narayana

First Assistant Registrar, O.S
in charge.

CMK.

Copy of letter Dis.No.792 of 1932 dated the 20th December 1932 from the Official Redeiver of South Kanara, to the District Judge, South Kanara.

With reference to your D.7924/15-12-1932, I have the honour to state as follows:--

The Official Receiver has only one clerk who has to maintain (1) accounts i.e., ledger, cash book, write up chalans M.O.receipts, cheques, postage account; (2) maintain the Inward register, Daily register of court fees, (3) copy out the reports that are constantly called for from the eight courts in the district; (4) comply with ~~xxxxx~~ copy applications from parties and in that connection carry on the necessary communications with the copyist department or the court staff; (5) comply with the requisition from the courts for records or else receive the records from the several courts; (6) to arrange the papers in each I.P.as the entire papers relating to each I.P. which often runs up to a few hundreds of papers and to have them kept in proper order and duly indexed; (7) sometimes the clerk is employed continuously for days together in preparing returns or statements called for by courts and in getting ready for the annual audit or the inspection during which time considerable work accumulates and hampers the regular discharge of his daily duties which consist in maintaining, the General register, Despatch register, Detailed register of documents, Daily register of court fees notices to insolvents, creditors, tenants and in connection with outstandings due to the estates, publications in the Gazette and notices under section 64 maintenance of what was called the 13A register and several other registers, to these has been added this year the maintenance of additional registers.

2 Indexing of papers in each bundle is in itself a task which would require the services of a separate head, whenever it is allowed to be accumulated through one or other of the causes noted above.

3 A considerable portion of the solitary clerk's and the Official Receiver's time is taken up in attending to the suit, appeal and I.A.notices that are daily pouring in from the several courts and in connection with every such notice, the relevant papers have to be traced to ascertain, what should be done by the Official Receiver after issuing notices to the creditors or taking legal ~~xxxx~~ advice which involves a lot of correspondence; and in view of the very short time that is often allowed to the Official Receiver to put in his appearance and contest the proceedings the consequences to the estates may sometimes be serious if the Official Receiver assisted by his clerk does not devote sufficient time to this work.

Just in time 4 In my letter Dis..No.715/1932 dated 3-12-1932, I have given an account of the work that was turned out by the temporary hand that I had employed and also stated that 300 estates are now pending administration, and 40 I.Ps.have been received from the Sub Court and 55 from the mofussil courts during the current year alone.

I may mention that a busy lawyer finds it impossible to run his office with a solitary clerk even though he has not to maintain so many registers or accounts and vouchers in a way that they could be audited. The Official Receiver has much more work to cope with and without a sufficient number of hands it is not possible to get through the work regularly and promptly. It is under those circumstances that I have asked for two extra hands.

CMK. (True Copy). ...Chandrasekhara Aiyar, District Judge, 4/1/1933.

Dis.No.331/1933.

Office of the Official Receiver,
South Kanara,
Mangalore, dated 30--4--1933.

From

P.R.Ranganatha Punja, B.A., B.L.,
Advocate and Official Receiver, S.Kanara.

To

The District Judge of South Kanara.

Sir,

With reference to your D.No.2500/29-4-1933, communicating to me an extract from the letter received from the Secretary to Government of Madras and forwarded with R.O.C.No.54/33-D3 of High Court, Madras, I wish to bring to your kind notice that the nature of the work here is perhaps different from that obtaining in other parts of the Presidency. The amount of work here cannot be estimated merely by the number of cases filed in a year. The majorty of insolvency cases filed in this district are in the Sub Court and involve the taking of possession and administration of extensive estates. Communication in this district is difficult, being hilly, and it is further augmented by the presence of a large number of rivers and the Official Receiver's time is taken up in looking after the estates, writing reports called for from courts, holding sales in different parts of the district, collecting rents, collecting materials for sale proclamations and various other administrative duties which convert the Official Receiver into a whole-time worker and the maintenance of the accounts and the numerous registers in themselves completely engage the services of a full-time clerk. The details of his daily routine work, I have already submitted in my last letter Dis.No.792/1932 dated 26-12-1932. As a result there is no hand available for assisting the Official Receiver in maintaining copies of his ~~xxx~~ correspondence with the courts and the parties and the records, each of which, often run to several hundreds of papers, appertaining to each case, or to help the Official Receiver to issue notices to the creditors or to gather the papers and the required information to enable the Official Receiver to come to his own conclusions with reference

to the suit, appeal and I.A. notices that are daily pouring in from the several courts. In a word for some years past the difficulties of the Official Receiver have been persistently brought to the notice of the High Court and successive District Judges namely, Messrs.K.S.Menon, ...Jewsbury, L.S. Ramaswami Shastri and yourself have been scrutinising this matter and I learn that this grievance has been treated as legitimate and requiring immediate relief by each of them and I may be ~~xxxxx~~ permitted to state that you no less acquainted with all the details or the work turned out in the Official Receiver's office as a result of your most thorough and scrutinising annual inspection during the last three years. It may be a matter for enquiry as to how the work has been carried on for all these years in spite of such obstacles. I may be allowed to state frankly that the Official Receiver in addition to being overworked has to resort to the assistance of vakils, clerks, etc., and even go the length of maintaining one or two extra hands at his own expense in order to meet with the requirements from time to time. This I submit will be quite unfair as a good share of the Official Receiver's income is being remitted to Government from time to time and this extra burden is not at all contemplated under the rules.

In conclusion instead of trying to picture in detail the special features obtaining in this district as compared with other districts of which I have no personal knowledge or whatsoever, I would only submit that the Government be pleased to consider the recommendations in this behalf made by the several District Judges noted above and grant relief at an ~~xxxxx~~ early date.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
Sd/-.P.N.Ranganatha Punja,
Official Receiver.

(True Copy).

Sd/-.M.Chandrasekhara Aiyar,
District Judge, 3-5-1933

CMK.

Comparative Statistics of Insolvency work in the Office of the Official Receiver East Tanjore Negapatam and Statement showing the Commission realised by Government as per High Court's R.O.C. No. 34/33 dated 19-4-1933 and Law (General) Department No. 1132/C2 dated 10-4-1933.

Year.	Total number of Insolvency Petitions filed.	Total number of Miscellaneous Applications filed.	Total number of leases held.	Total number of sales held.	Gross realisations for the year.	Total amount of claims admitted.	Total amount of dividend distributed.	Total number of Schedules framed.	Rebate credited to Government.	Interest accrued on fixed deposits and credited to Government.	Amount of fees credited to Government
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	Rs. (6) A. P.	Rs. (7) A. P.	Rs. (8) A. P.	(9)	Rs. (10) A. P.	Rs. (11) A. P.	Rs. (12) A. P.
1930	65	127	25	21	102694-6-1	190981-15-8	15039-8-3	26	280-8-6	...	155-4-0
1931	75	128	21	27	3818-11-11	500411-15-0	37262-10-1	39	626-15-3	...	1172-3-1
1932	105	53	49	43	44050-5-4	11707-6-5	3230-1-3	42	3728-14-0	3535-10-2	57-13-11

Office of the Official Receiver,
East Tanjore, Negapatam.
Dated 29-4-1933.

Official Receiver

19

Statement showing the comparative statistics for the last 3 years of insolvency work and the Commission realised by Government called for in High Court's R.O.C. No. 34-33 D3 dated 19-4-1933.

Year.	No. of J.P.	Amount realised.	Amount disbursed.	Commission realised by Government.
1930	79	64974-1-1	99205-10-2	53-12-9
1931	150	201235-2-3	142275-14-11	590-3-0
1932	130	162148-9-3	162287-11-1	661-2-7

Official Receiver's Office
Trichinopoly.
29-4-1933.

(Signed) _____
Official Receiver

Figures called for in High Court's R.O.C. 34-33 dated 19-4-33.

Period	Amount realised by O.R.	Amount disbursed by O.R.	Amount of creditor's claims admitted.	Amount of creditors claims satisfied.	Number of petitions received.	Number of petitions disposed of	Remarks.
1930	Rs. 47931-13-6	Rs 414524-8-9.	Rs. 133409-10-5.	131224-3-6	114	6	
1931	Rs 63426-8-8	Rs. 55886-6-0	Rs 166994-6-2	149402-4-4	84	63	
1932.	Rs 76930-10-9	Rs 73715-8-7	Rs 125819-2-1	58895-2-0	168	94.	

Period.	Amount of commission earned.	Amount of commission appropriated.	Government's share.	Remarks
1930	2890-10-6	2542-15-6	Rs. 347-11-0	
1931	3511-8-8	2824-0-6	Rs. 670-8-2	
1932	2899-0-4	2549-4-3	Rs. 349-12-1	

Official Receiver's Court, Salem
dated 1-5-1933.

(Sd.) Madhava Rao.
Official Receiver

Statement of commission realised by Government from the Official Receiver, Chingleput during the years 1930, 1931, 1932 & 1933.

Year.	Commission realised by Government R. A. Rs.	Remarks.
1930	— — —	for 1928-29 = 21-5-0. " 1929-30 = 322-10-3 " 1930-31 = 258-4-0. 602-3-3
1931	602-3-3.	This amount was paid into the Treasury on 8-5-1931.
1932.	246-4-8	Due for the year 1931-32. This amount was paid into the Treasury on 4-4-1932
1933.	328-13-3.	Due for the year 1932-33 This amount was paid into the Treasury on 5-4-1933.

Chingleput.
to the Treasy 1933.

Official Receiver

Statistics showing the work in the Office of the Official Receiver, Chingleput, during the years 1930, 1931 and 1932.

S.No.	Details of work.	1930	1931	1932
1.	Number of insolvency petitions received (C.R.7).	92	105	119.
2.	Number of insolvency cases disposed of (C.R.13).	81	103	82.
3.	Number of papers received as per Register No (C.R.55)	1607	1593	2196.
4.	Number of cases received as per C.R. No. 17.	642	802	1265.
5.	Number of papers despatched C.C. No. 56.	5037	4940	8193.
6.	Number of miscellaneous Petitions received.	22	26	36.
7.	Do. disposed of.	19	29	31.
8.	Total number of creditors in all the cases to whom notices etc have to be sent.	1100	1300	1350.
9.	Average number of petitions posted in a day for enquiry.	10	12	14
10.	Number of schedules of creditors framed	60	80	85.
11.	Number of cases in which dividends were declared.	29	45	37.
12.	Number of sales held.	100	137	180.
13.	Number of sale deeds executed.	36	38	49.
14.	Number of special powers executed for registering sale deeds.	34	36	43.
15.	Number of receipts granted.	394	398	571.
16.	Number of items of payment (excluding the postal charges incurred in each case the items of which cannot be conveniently totalled) No of such item.	2780	3730	3648
17.	Number of remittances made to the Treasury towards personal deposit account.	4000	4000	7000
18.	Number of remittances to the Treasury towards publication charges and audit fees due to Government.	41	58	67.
19.	Number of cheques issued.	26	31	46.
20.	Number of money orders sent.	137	218	164.
21.	Number of Commissariat bills paid.	28	52	107
22.	Number of audit fees paid.	29	46	47.
		30	47	39

	1930	1931	32
23. Number of dividend paid	824	200	173
24. Total amount realised.	R. A. P. Q 39246-13-3	A N. 48686-2-3	R. A. 6504-1
25. Total amount of dividend paid.	17128-0-0	74697-0-9	6153-3-
26. Number of cases registered in the Asset Register.	824	98	119.
29. Total number of days on which the Official Receiver had been outside the Head Quarters for conducting sales and for taking possession of properties etc.	4	5	
28. Total number of days on which the clerk has been outside the Head office quarters for conducting sales or for taking possession of properties etc.	9	15	23
29. Total statements submitted	30	30	26
30. Stationery Indent.	1	1	1
31. Indent for forms.	3	3	3

Office of the Official Receiver,
Chingleput, 10th May 1933

(S.D)
Official Re.

24

Law (General) Dept.

Letter No. 1132-B-4
Ref. 12-7-33.

To

The Hon. the Chief Justice,
High Court, Madras.

Hon. Sir,

Official Receiver, South Kanara-- Appointment of an addl. clerk. Ref. Correspondence ending with the High Court's letter R.O.C. No. 34-33/D3 dated 24- 5- 33.

I am directed to say that the statements forwarded to Govt. with the High Court's letter read above ~~are not uniform~~ have not been prepared on a uniform basis. I am to request that the Hon. the Judges will be so good as to forward to Govt. a comparative statement showing the number of insolvency petitions alone received in the official receivers' offices referred to therein including the Official Receiver's South Kanara and the amounts of commissions earned by the Official Receiver, Chingleput, during each of the three years ending the 31st Dec. 1932.

25

Law (gnl) Dept

Letter No. 1132B5
Ref. 16/8/33

To

The Hon. the Chief Justice,
High Court, Madras

Hon. Sir,

Official Receiver, Sou
Kanara – Appointment of an
addl. clerk

I am directed to invite reference to Letter No. 1132-B-4 Law (gnl) Dept. 12.7.33 and to enquire when a reply may be expected.

C.F. 30.

26

DEPARTMENT. L.S 4100 B 23.8.33

Received ..... 19 *Registered* 19 19

Subject.

ENCLOSURES.
No. .....
.....
Spare copies .....

R.O.C. No. 34/33-D-3

Station Madras, *Dated the* 18th August 1933.

From (Name) G.S.White Esquire, (Solicitor),
(Designation) Registrar, High Court, Madras.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Law (General) Department.
(with enclosure)

Sir,

Establishment - Official Receiver's office, South kanara - additional clerk - appointment of .

With reference to your letter No.1132 B-4 Law (Genl) dated 12-7-1933 I am to forward herewith a consolidated statement as called for therein.

f. 24 ante
f. 27 inf.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

REGISTRAR.

K.C.K.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
No.	Name of the district	Year	No. of petitions filed as appearing in Col. 2 of Statement E2	No. of insolvency petitions alone received.	Amount of Commission earned.	Remarks.
					Rs A P	
1	South Kanara	1930	159	83	2540-9-2	
		1931	136	98	4028-7-2	
		1932	161	77	8297-11-11	
2	Chingleput	1930	248	92	2456-7-5	
		1931	185	105	2097-13-8	
		1932	169	119	3172-10-7	
3	East Tanjore	1930	114	65	2273-15-7	These figures do not tally with the figures in Col. 2 of Statement E2 appended to Statistics of Civil Courts as some of the petitions received are withdrawn, some dismissed and a few cases dealt with under Sec. 74 of Act V of 1920
		1931	161	75	8064-4-9	
		1932	174	105	2832-14-11	
4	Trichinopoly	1930	173	79	1613-6-9	
		1931	183	150	3116-6-9	
		1932	216	130	2719-8-11	
5	Salem	1930	155	114	2890 10 6	
		1931	195	84	3511 8 8	
		1932	204	168	2899 0 4	

285

Ry Secy

Law (gnl) Dept.

C. No 1132B From the Hyd. Ct. No 34-33 D.3 & 27. 2. 33.

Establishment – Official Receiver's Office, Mangalore, – Addl. clerk.

A. S.

13 of (V) L 29 491 5.1.32

The Official Receiver, South Kanara, has one permanent clerk. In order to clear off arrears of indexing work in his office an addl. temporary clerk, was sanctioned for two periods of six months each and the Sanction expired on 17. 6. 31. In August 1931. it was stated that the revival of the Sanction for the addl. clerk was necessary, (for one year) but, in views of the financial stringency the H.E. did not offer any Remarks on the proposal. In views of the representation of the D.J. South Kanara, this Dept. considered it necessary to Sanction a clerk for one year. But the Fin. Dept suggested that a clerk or an attender from a Dt Court might be deputed to help the Official Receiver's clerk to clear off his arrears. It was reported that such a course was not feasible. Finance finally stated that there was not a case for an additional clerk and suggested that the proposal might be negatived. The H.E. was

p 1-6 of (V) p 3 ibid para 3 at p 29 (V) p 3-4 of (V) p 14 ibid p 15 ibid p 1½ ibid pp 17-18 ibid p 18 ibid

therefore informed that two addl clerk could not be sanctioned in view of the financial stringency.

2/ The High Court now reports that the employment of clerks in the Official Receivers Office, on a piece work system which was tried as an expedient to reduce the arrears of clerical work, has not given adequate relief, and recommends the employment, for a period of one year, of an addl. clerk on Rs 30/- p.m. It is submd. that in the circumstances explained by the High Court, this Dept may agree to its proposal for the employment of a clerk on Rs 30/- temporarily for a period of one year. Finance may see for remarks.

RR 9.3.33
ICW 9.3.33

The D.J. says that on a close scrutiny of the work to be done he finds that two clerks are necessary for dealing with the current work and the arrears. The H.C's proposal may be sanctioned. Submitted.

p 4.C.7

(Statistics given are not given, but from the considerable increase in the amount the work has grown)

Bdk 11/3

The Commissioner of Labour is requested to furnish the information called for in Finance Dept's U.O. No. 1132-B-1 dated 16/3/33.

S

Fin: 17.3.33

30

Finance (E.R.).

The proposal of the Law (General) Department is to sanction the employment of an additional clerk on Rs 30 in the office of the Official Receiver, South Kanara, for a period of one year from 1-4-1933.

2. Usually districts with an average of about 100 insolvency petitions are treated as 'heavy' and the official Receivers of such districts are allowed one clerk on Rs 35-60 and one peon on Rs 12-18. In other districts, a part-time clerk is deputed from the District Court to help the Official Receiver in his clerical work.

3. The Official Receiver, South Kanara has already one clerk on Rs 35-60. An additional clerk on Rs 30 was sanctioned for 6 months in 1930 as it was reported that work was considerably in arrears The clerk was continued for a further period of six months; but in G.O.29 Law (Genl.) dated 5-1-1932 the further retention of the clerk was refused in view of the financial stringency. There was also no adequate justification for an additional clerk.

4. The High Court has, with a view to relieve the congestion of work, authorised the employment of temporary clerks on piece-work rates in the offices of Official Receivers to be paid from the funds of the estates. It is stated that the employment of clerks on job work system has not afforded adequate relief in the South Kanara District and that two permanent clerks are necessary. It is also pointed out that the amount of commission earned by Government will more than suffice to cover the extra cost.

5. It is submitted that the necessity for an additional clerk for the Official Receiver, South

Kanara, has to be established with reference to the amount of insolvency work in the district. On a comparison of the statistics of insolvency proceedings for 1931, it will be noticed that insolvency work is not particularly heavy in South Kanara and it is not apparent why two clerks are required for work in this district, while other districts where insolvency work is heavier than in South Kanara are able to manage with only one clerk. Submitted that before Finance agree to the proposal, the Law General department may be requested to furnish comparative statistics for the last ~~3~~ years of insolvency work in three or four 'heavy' districts and where only one clerk is employed ~~e.g. South Arcot, Cuddapah, Guntur, Madura~~ and to explain the special features in South Kanara justifying the employment of an additional clerk.

KVRr
24.3.33

25/3/33

Law (Genl.

8288 GL
25-3-33

Law (Genl) Dept

A.S.

Please see Fin. note above. Statistics showing the number of insolvency petitions are available only up to 1931. Besides the information regarding the districts in which only one clerk is employed are not readily available. Suggest that the High Court may be asked to furnish comparative statistics for the last three years of insolvency work in four 'heavy' districts where

Ch. 1740 B
25 3 33

5/'32

one clerk is employed. The High Court may also be asked to specify the amount of commission realised in each such ~~Co~~ district, and to explain the special features in the South Kanara district justifying the employment of an additional clerk. (The reason so far given for the employment of the addl clerk in the Official Receiver's office, is that his office is ill-manned and that one clerk ~~has not~~ literally no time to maintain properly the prescribed registers. Please also see para 4 of the ~~D~~ letter of the Dt. Judge, South Kanara) A draft letter is submd. for approval

Ref: Para 2 at p 3 ante
Govt No. 2207 Jus
/20 5 30
(W)

pp 3-4 cf

RBR
7/4

PS
5.4.33

RBW
5.4.33

S

LWO
7/4/33

pp 9-10 cf Letter to the High Court No 1132-B-2 dt 10-4-33

C. No 2791 B. From the High Court R.O.C. No. 34-33-D,3 d/24.5

pp 12-13 cf

A.S.

Please see the letter to the High Court pages 9-10 and its reply thereto at pages 12-13 c.f. The High Court has forwarded copies of the letters of the Official Receiver, South Kanara addressed to the Dt. Judge, South Kanara in which the former explains the necessity for the employ

mployment of the additional clerk. It would be bserved from the Official Receiver's letters nat there are 300 estates now pending administration nd that 95 I.P.'s have been received in his ffice during the year 1932. The Official receiver as ~~further~~ explained in para 1 of his letter t pages 16-17 c.f. how the nature of the work n his district is different from that obtaining n other parts of the Presidency. He also says hat he has to resort to the assistance of the akil's clerks and even go to the length of aintaining one or two extra hands at his own xpense.

2. The comparative statements forwarded by the High Court in respect of the institution of petitions and payment of commissions to the Govt in the various districts, are not uniform. For example, the Official Receiver East Tanjore gives the total number of insolvency petitions filed and the number of ~~miscellaneous petitions~~ applications filed in that district. The O.R. Trichinopoly gives the number of I.P's only. The O.R. Salem furnishes figures for the number of petitions received, while the O.R. Chingleput gives figures under various heads. Nor do these figures tally with those given in the statements in the Civil Courts Statistics. The Official Receivers of East Tanjore, Trichinopoly and Salem give the amount of commissions realised by the Govt. in respect of calendar years, whereas the O.R. Chingleput gives it in respect of official

years. Besides in the latter case the amount credited to Govt. ~~for the official year 1930~~ in the year 1931 also includes the amounts for the previous years. In these circumstances it seems difficu to draw up a comparison between these districts on the one hand and ~~the~~ South Kanara, for which figures have not been furnished, on the other. It would be sufficient if figures were furnished for the number of insolvency petitions received by the official receivers referred to above including the O.R. South Kanara.. Subd. that the High Court may be asked to furnish figures only for the number of I.P's received by each O.R. during each of the three years ending the 31st Dec. 1932. and the amount of commission credited to Govt. by the O.K. Chingleput ~~in~~ during these years. A draft letter is subd. for approval.

Fin: may see the ref

Fin

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
26 JUN 1933
FINANCE DEPT.

17532

As pointed out by the Law department, the statistics furnished by the High Court do not ~~furnish~~ include the figures above for South Kanara which those for

other districts have not all been framed on an uniform basis. They do not tally with the figures given in the Land Revenue Statistics either. The draft may be amended as indicated in para 2. It is also submitted that information regarding the Commission earned, rather than the amount credited each year, may be called for, as it may happen that the amount credited in any year may include the commission earned in a previous year - cf the remarks against the figure for 1931 on page 2 of

Subject to the above remarks, the draft letter may be accepted and the file returned to the Law department.

GR
4.7.33

KVR
4.7.33

Law

In 17532 of 33

1933

L.P. 3368 D
8.7.33

36 9

Law (gnl) Dept.

A.S.

Please see Fin. note at pp 7-8 ante. The suggestions made by Fin. have been carried out in the draft. The draft letter as amended may issue now.

28
10.7.33.
KRW
10.7.33

Issued

11/7

p24 cf [C. No. 4100 B] Issued as G. No. 1132-B-4 dt 12.7.33.
From the High Court Roc No 34/33-D.38) 18.8.33
pp26-27 cf

A.S.

At page 27 c.f. is a statement showing the figures of work during the last three years in South Kanara and certain other districts where there is only one clerk employed, and the commission earned by the O.R. Chingleput. It has been stated that figures do not tally with those in Col. 2 of Statement E 2 appended to Statistics of Civil Courts, as some of the petitions received are withdrawn, some dismissed and a few dealt with under sec. 74 of Act V of 1920.

p27 cf

2. The O.R. South Kanara has stated that the amount of work in his district cannot be estimated merely by the number of cases filed in a year and that a majority of insolvency cases filed in the district are in the Sub Court and

p16 cf

involve the taking of possession and administration of extensive estates. He also says that communication in the district is difficult, being hilly, and that it is further augmented by the presence of a large number of rivers and the ~~xxxxx~~ O.R's time is taken up by looking after the estates etc. It is stated also that the numerous registers in themselves completely engage the services of the full time clerk. The O.R. further says that for some years past the difficulties of the O.R. have been persistently brought to the notice of the High Court and successive Dt. Judges and that the claim for an additional clerk has been treated as legitimate and (as a grievance) requiring immediate relief.

3. It is submitted that in the circumstances this Dept. ~~xx~~ may press for the employment of an additional clerk on Rs. 30 on a temporary basis for one year. Vide. note on page 2 ante. The file may be sent to Fin. with reference to their notes at page 4 ante.

Yes
9.9.33

1879

Fin

17577 d.S. 1132 B-6
28.9.33

Financial ER

Previous Fin. notes on pp 3-4 & 7-8 ante.

The High Court has now furnished a
page 27 CF. Complete statement showing the number
of insolvency petitions filed in each
of the districts of S. Kanara, Chingleput
East Tanjore, Trichy and Salem during the
3 years ending 1932 and the amount
of Commission earned by the Official
Receivers in each of the three years.
It will be observed that the number
i. 5 on page 27 CF. of insolvency petitions filed in S. Kanara
is much below the number filed in
Salem, Trichinopoly and Chingleput
districts in which the Official Receivers
have been allowed only one clerk each.

2. The Law dept. however, press for
para 10 above. the appointment of an additional
clerk in S. Kanara on the ground
that the amount of work in the district
para 9 above. cannot be estimated by the number
of Cases filed as the Official Receiver
has to administer extensive estates.
Judging from the amount of Commission
earned by the Official Receiver South
line 27 CF. Kanara, it would appear that only
in 1932 (1931) he had many extensive
estates to manage. It does not

appear that a strong case has been made out for the employment of an additional clerk for S. Kanara district; and it is for consideration whether Finance may agree to the employment of an additional clerk on Rs 30 temporarily for one year as proposed by the Law dept.

3. The proposal is an item of new expenditure and though the extra cost involved is only Rs 360/- p.a. the clerk, if sanctioned is likely to be continued. The Law dept. may be requested to treat it as a Part II Scheme for 1934-35.

10-10-33

On Statistics the case can hardly be said to be strong; but if Law wish to press it, they may treat it as a Part-II Scheme, after circulating it to the Fin. Dept.

Law (Pol.)

A.S.

A draft note for the Fin. Committee is sent for approval. May be circulated to H.M.'s C 2 and C 4.

7x33.

-13-

Langa(s) dgs

AS

The proposal of the High Court on this file is for the appointment of an addl clerk in the office of the Dist Registrar, South Kavara temporarily for a period of
¶ 7 CF one year. It has been examined at Langa in this dept at ¶¶ 1-2, 5-6 and 9-10 ante and by the Finance Dept at ¶¶ 3-4 and 11-12 ante. The reasons in brief in support of the proposal are that the work in the Dist. ¶¶ 1, 14-15, 17 CF Registrar's office is heavy and the system of employing spare workers to cope with it is unsatisfactory that the majority of ¶ 16 CF work-charged were filed mostly the keeping the framing of and administration of entirely related in a district where means of communication is difficult owing to its hilly nature and the presence of a large number of rivers so that the difficulty of running the office with one clerk who is fully occupied with the maintenance of numerous registers has been frequently brought to ¶ 17 CP notice of the Dist Judge and High Court and admitted by them. It is also urged among other peculiar physical features of the district of South Kavara that the nature of the projects to be administered are more

number of the Bombay petitions should not be taken as the sole criterion in assessing the need for an additional clerk in the Office.

The Finance Dept have even carried their number of missionary petitions in the South Kanara Dt so completely with other districts in which there is only one clerk in the Office Records' Office does not justify the addition. It is also assumed from the increase in the Commission earned in 1932 that the rise of large estates to be administered in any one year only

For orders whether the additional clerk on Rs. 30 p.m. with the concurrence of the Office Records South Kanara for a period of one year. May be circulated to Hon'ble C_2 and C_4.

RSW
20.10.33

Perhaps the case should be processed in the usual way after the Phoenix - wide comparative statistics of 27/28

RL
20/10

S

The case is based not only on Statistics but also (i) on the difficulties experienced actually in keeping up with the [illegible] and (ii) on the labour involved in [illegible]

ER

extensive estates in a hilly dt. intersected by rivers.

It may perhaps be treated as a Part II Scheme for 1934-5

CWO
24/10/33.

C2. yes immy 24-10-33

C4. Very well. But it is a fresh case.

KP
24/10

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
25 OCTOBER
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

A.S.

A draft note for the Finance Committee is subd. for approval. It may be sent to the Fin. Dept. for adding their portion of the note.

PR
26 X 33
30 Nov
7-11-33

BR
4/11

CWO
2/11/33.

S

2 NOV 1933
4-F 1132 B-7

Fin.

previous finance notes on pp 11-12 ante

Finance ER

The note for the Finance Committee as amended may be accepted and the following added as the note by this department.

The proposal may be placed before the Finance Committee as a Part II Scheme for 1934-35

SD
6-11-33

JVRAV
7-11-33

Finance

may suggest that the
Embargo ment be from
the date of reopening of
the Courts after the
Summer recess of 1934
(about June 1934). The
demand for 1934-35 will
then be about Rs 270 or
Rs. 300 Roughly.

7.11.33

-Sd- 120 Dr
7-11-33

Law (Ed. Deptt

A.S.-

d. 8 SB 31 B
9-11-33

The amendment suggested by
Finance may be agreed " to
For orders as to circulation

10/11

10/11

Now
10.11.33.

13.11.33

C2.

C4.

Law (General) Dept.

NOTE FOR THE FINANCE COMMITTEE.

Part II Schemes for 1934-35.

Subject:- Appointment of an additional clerk for the office of the Official Receiver, South Kanara.

Summary:-

Head of Account.	Item of expenditure.	Ultimate cost.	Cost in 1934-35.
24.e. Administration of Justice - Civil and Sessions Courts- B. Mufassal Civil and Sessions Courts-Pay of Establishments - Temporary - Voted.	A clerk on Rs.30 p. m.	Rs.360	Rs.~~360~~ 270 (9 months) or Rs 300

Note by the Administrative Dept.

The Official Receiver, South Kanara, has at present one permanent clerk in his office. In order to clear off arrears of ~~indexing~~ work in his office, an additional temporary clerk for two periods of six months each was sanctioned and the last sanction expired on 17-6-31. Soon after in August 1931, the Dist. Judge South Kanara came up to Govt. for an additional clerk for the office of Official Receiver for a period of one year, but the proposal was not sanctioned in the then financial stringency.

2. ~~In February 1933~~ The Hon. the Judges have brought to the notice of ~~the~~ Govt. that the expedient of giving relief to O.R's office by the employment of clerks on a job work system which had been tried at their instance has not given adequate relief. ~~They, therefore, In supporting the Dist. Judge's proposal for the permanent appointment of at least one additional clerk on Rs.30 p.m.~~ The Hon'ble Judges have stated that, having regard to the commission allotted by Govt. in 1932, (as noted in the margin)* ~~they recommend, for the present,~~ the employment of an additional clerk on Rs.30 p. m. for a period of one year, as just. It is ~~also~~ urged in this connection that the amount of work in the Sout. Kanara dist. cannot be estimated by the number of cases filed in a year and that the majority of insolvency cases filed in the dist. are in the Sub-Court and involve the taking of possession and administration of extersive estates. ~~Difficulty in the means of communication in the dist. owing to its hilly nature and the presence of a~~

* 1932 ... 1932 ...

This also seems to me a question of time & labour in administering estates in a hilly district ...

~~large number of rivers intersecting it, is also said to contribute in taking up the time of O.R.~~ It is reported that the maintenance of accounts and numerous registers in themselves ~~xxxxxx~~ completely engage the services of ~~a~~ full time clerk and this ~~is~~ ~~xxxxx~~ are not available for O.R. in maintaining cash, correspondence, records of cases, issue orders etc.

3. In the circumstances, this Dept. recommend the proposal of the High Court for the employment of an additional clerk on Rs.30 p.m. for a period of one year from the reopening of the Courts after the Dussehra recess of 1934.

1.11.33.

V.N.V.

Q4/11/33.

V.N.V.

Note by the Finance Department.

The proposal may be placed before the Finance Committee as a Part-II scheme for 1934-35

V.A.V.

8.1.33

Item H

LAW (GENERAL) DEPARTMENT.

NOTE FOR THE FINANCE COMMITTEE.

PART II SCHEMES FOR 1934–35.

[SUBJECT.—*Appointment of an additional clerk for the office of the Official Receiver, South Kanara.*]

Summary.

Head of account.	Item of expenditure.	Ultimate cost.	Cost in 1934-35 (9 months).
		RS.	RS.
24. e. Administration of Justice —Civil and Sessions Courts— B. Mufassal Civil and Sessions Courts—Pay of establishments —Temporary—Voted.	A clerk on Rs. 30 per mensem.	360	270 or 300 in round figures.

NOTE BY THE ADMINISTRATIVE DEPARTMENT.

The Official Receiver, South Kanara, has at present one permanent clerk in his office. In order to clear off arrears of work in his office, an additional temporary clerk for two periods of six months each was sanctioned and the last sanction expired on 17th June 1931. Soon after in August 1931, the District Judge, South Kanara, came up to Government for an additional clerk for the office of the Official Receiver for a period of one year, but the proposal was not sanctioned in the then financial stringency.

2. The Hon'ble the Judges have brought to the notice of Government that the expedient of giving relief to Official Receiver's office by the employment of clerks on a job-work system which has been tried at their instance has not given adequate relief. The Hon'ble the Judges have stated that, having regard to the commission realized by Government in 1932 (as noted on the margin), the employment of an additional clerk on Rs. 30 per mensem for a period of one year is justified. It is urged in this connexion that the amount of work in the South Kanara district cannot be estimated merely by the number of cases filed in a year and that the majority of insolvency cases filed in the district are in the Sub-Court and involve the taking of possession and administration of extensive estates. It is also said that much of the time of Official Receiver is taken up in administering extensive estates in a hilly district intersected by rivers. It is reported that the maintenance of accounts and numerous registers in themselves completely engage the services of the full-time clerk and that his services are not available to the Official Receiver in maintaining copies of correspondence and the voluminous records of cases, issue of notice, etc.

	RS.	A.	P.
1930	600	4	5
1931	902	11	10
1932	2,905	9	5

3. In the circumstances, this department recommend the proposal of the High Court for the employment of an additional clerk on Rs. 30 per mensem for a period of one year from the re-opening of the courts after the summer recess of 1934.

V. N. VISWANATHA RAO—2–11–33.

NOTE BY THE FINANCE DEPARTMENT.

The proposal may be placed before the Finance Committee as a Part II Scheme for 1934–35.

S. A. VENKATARAMAN—8–11–33.

Law (Gvt) Dept

A.S.

Provision of funds for the employme
of an addl. clerk in the office of the
Official Receiver, South Kanara, ~~for a~~
~~period of six months~~ has been made
in the current year's budget. In connecti
with certain other appointments for whic
provision was made in the budget for one
year, ~~for~~ for instance, the appt of an Addl
Dt. Munsif, Ellore, it was agreed decided
on the suggestion of the Fin. Dept., to restrict
the sanction for the period from the date
of the reopening of the court after the
summer recess for 1934 ~~upto~~ till the commence
ment of the summer recess for 1935. In
this case also a similar procedure may
be followed. A draft order is subd. for
approval. Fin. may Rs.

BR
27/4

S
28/4/34.

Fin.

BR
27.4.34

MW
27/4

1-MAY 1934
REVENUE DEPT

X Genl/132 B-8
28/4/34

(3)

DEPUTY SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
30 APR 1934
FINANCE DEPT.

14354

14354

Finance (E.R)

The draft order may be accepted.

1.5.34.

3.5.34

Fin no 14354 GR
4.5.34

d. 4984 B
8/5/34

31/95

51

aw (Genl) Depot.

C.F. 15.

DRAFT FOR APPROVAL.

	Date.	Initials.
When received for fair copying ..	10/5/34	
When copied ..		
When examined..		
When signed ..		
When despatched.		

SUBJECT:—

Official Receiver, South Kanara Establishment — Appointment of an addl. clerk — temporary sanctioned

READ AGAIN _____, dated _____ 19____

" " _____ " _____ 19____

READ *ALSO*:—

Pp 1-4 No. 11321B/33 From the Regr. High Court, Roc No. 4/34 D.3., dated 27.2.1933.

Pp 12-13 " " do " do " 24.5.1933

Pp 25 " " do " do " 18.8.1933

Order ~~Resolution~~ Ms. No. 1439, dated 9-5- 1934.

1740
2791 3268
1100
4984/34

To the Hon. the C.J. with C.

" D.J. Judge, South Kanara

" A.G. Krongs Fin.

" Fin Deptt.

Dept 11.1.34

The Govt. sanction the employment in the office of the Official Receiver, South Kanara of a clerk on Rs. 30 p.m. with effect from the date of the re-opening of the Dt Court after the summer recess for 1934 upto the commencement of the summer recess for 1935.

2. The expenditure will be met from the budget provision under "24 e. B. Administration of Justice — Civil and Sessions Courts — Mufassal Civil and Sessions Courts — Regular establishments — Pay of establishments — Voted".

27.4.34 23/4

23/4 23/4/34

I E

S.

From the Supdt. L.S.

To

The Sub Asst. Regr. High Court

Official Receiver, South Kanara
appointment of an addl. clerk. Ref.
Correspondence ending with Hist Ct's
letter Roc No. 34/33 - 5-3.33/15-8-33

Please send very urgently a statement showing the amounts of commission earned by the Offl. Receivers of South Kanara, East Lanjore, and Trichinopoly during each of the three years ending 31-12-32.

128
21-9-33.

21/9
P.C. to 80

Please remind
128
26/9/33 Done 26/9

Figures taken from the High Ct.
Trichinopoly on 29.5.03

	1870 -	71 —	72 —
Trichinopoly	1613-6-9 -	3116-6-9 -	2719-8-11
South Kanara	2540-9-2	4028-7-2	8297-11-11
East Tanjore	2273-15-7	8064-4-9	2832-14-1

Idem
1 Mus.